Lysophospholipid receptors \( \text{LPA}_{1-3} \) are not required for the inhibitory effects of LPA on mouse retinal growth cones

Abstract: One of the major requirements in the development of the visual system is axonal guidance of retinal ganglion cells toward correct targets in the brain. A novel class of extracellular lipid signaling molecules, lysophospholipids, may serve as potential axon guidance cues. They signal through cognate G protein-coupled receptors, at least some of which are expressed in the visual system. Here we show that in the mouse visual system, a lysophospholipid known as lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) is inhibitory to retinal neurites in vitro when delivered extracellularly, causing growth cone collapse and neurite retraction. This inhibitory effect of LPA is both active in the nanomolar range and specific compared to the related lysophospholipid, sphingosine 1-phosphate (S1P). Knockout mice lacking three of the five known LPA receptors, LPA\(_1\)–\(_3\), continue to display retinal growth cone collapse and neurite retraction in response to LPA, demonstrating that these three receptors are not required for these inhibitory effects and indicating the existence of one or more functional LPA receptors expressed on mouse retinal neurites that can mediate neurite retraction.
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Introduction

During development, the visual system forms by a self-wiring mechanism where axons extend from retinal ganglion cells (RGCs) in the eye and grow through intervening tissue to connect to targets in the brain. Even in the complex vertebrate nervous system, these connections are precise and not random. This precision is due to specific guidance of axons to the lateral geniculate nucleus (LGN) and superior colliculus (or optic tectum) followed by pruning mechanisms that further refine the patterns of connections, resulting in a precise topographic map.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^4\) During development, the first step in this process is the specific guidance of axons to their targets. Studies have uncovered some of the molecular cues that RGC axons use, as well as the responding receptors, and progress has been made in defining the roles of protein cues, such as semaphorins, ephrins, netrins, slits, and CAMs.\(^2\)\(^,\)\(^5\) Some of these cues are attractive for axonal growth cones, while others are inhibitory; intriguingly, many of these guidance interactions appear to be inhibitory.

In recent years, the roles of an interesting new class of biologically active molecules, lysophospholipids, has begun to be unveiled. These lysophospholipids are derived from membrane phospholipids that, as a class, represent a major constituent of the brain.\(^6\)\(^-\)\(^8\) Two major forms of lysophospholipids are lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) and sphingosine 1-phosphate (S1P), both of which have been shown to have effects in the nervous system and development, as well as cancer, cell proliferation, apoptosis, and angiogenesis.\(^6\)\(^-\)\(^12\)
In the nervous system, evidence has accumulated for roles of LPA and S1P in cortical development, myelination, pain, hyperexcitability, and axon growth.12–15 Similar to many of the inhibitory protein axon guidance cues, LPA and S1P have been shown to be inhibitory in vitro to neural cell lines, as well as some primary neurons, often causing growth cone collapse and neurite retraction.16–27 As such, these lysophospholipids are potential candidates for guiding retinal axons during development.

The lysophospholipids function as extracellular signaling molecules by binding to cell surface receptors. The receptors for LPA and S1P have been identified as members of the G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) family.6,9,28–31 (Intriguingly from a biomedical perspective, since there has been great success in targeting GPCRs for pharmacological interventions in human disease,6,32,33 pharmacological agents against lysophospholipid receptors could lead to efficacious medical treatments.) For the lysophospholipids LPA and S1P, there have been five receptors identified for LPA, named LPA1, LPA2, and five receptors for S1P, S1P1, S1P2, S1P3, S1P4, and S1P5.28,42 Of the LPA receptors, the first three identified, LPA1, LPA2, and LPA3 are homologous,30,37–39 while the more recently identified LPA receptors LPA4 and LPA5 are less closely related.34–36 Furthermore, there has been considerable characterization of the intracellular signaling pathways downstream of LPA receptors.7,40,41 For example, in neural cell lines, a major receptor-mediated cellular response to LPA is process retraction, which signals through rhodopsin via the heterotrimeric G protein subunit Go4.12,13,28,42

The mouse visual system is well characterized for its development and axonal pathfinding.25,43 Here we demonstrate that LPA, but not S1P, is inhibitory to mouse retinal neurites in vitro, causing a dose-dependent growth cone collapse and neurite retraction. Furthermore, we have analyzed the response of retinal neurites to LPA from knockout mice for three of the LPA receptor genes (Lpar1, Lpar2, and Lpar3).8 We demonstrate that the receptors LPA1, LPA2, and LPA3 are not required for LPA-induced growth cone collapse and neurite retraction in mouse retinal culture, indicating that one or more other receptors have functional roles in the developing mouse visual system.

Materials and methods

Animals and materials
Mice were bred and housed at The Scripps Research Institute (La Jolla, CA, USA) under approved institutional animal care and use committee (IACUC) protocols. For genotyping of tissue, either tail or paw tissue from embryos was removed prior to retinal isolation and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was performed as described.44–46 LPA (18:1 Lyso PA; 1-Oleoyl-2-Hydroxy-sn-Glycero-3-Phosphate) was obtained from Avanti Polar Lipids (Alabaster, AL, USA). LPA was prepared by resuspension in methanol, aliquoting, then evaporating the methanol in a Speed-Vac® and storing aliquots at −20°C until use. For use, an aliquot was resuspended in water, then diluted in PBS containing 10% fatty acid-free bovine serum albumin ([BSA] Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA), and further diluted in culture media. Sphingosine 1-phosphate (S1P) was obtained from Biomol (Plymouth Meeting, PA) and resuspended in methanol and stored in aliquots at −20°C until use. For use, the required amount was removed and diluted in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) containing 10% fatty acid-free BSA, then further diluted in culture media. Concentrations of S1P higher than 1 μM were not tested because of a nonspecific, methanol solvent-induced growth cone collapse that precluded analysis. Ham’s F12 media was obtained from Hyclone (Waltham, MA). N2 was obtained from Invitrogen Corporation (Carlsbad, CA); the growth factors brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) and ciliary neurotrophic factor (CNTF) were obtained from PeproTech (Rocky Hill, NJ, USA); insulin was obtained from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA).

Retinal culture
Retinas were removed from E14.5 mouse embryos obtained by Cesarean section, and the retinas cut into explants. Explants were carefully placed into culture dishes such that they were spaced apart for neurite outgrowth with minimal interactions with other explants. Culture dishes were precoated with 0.1 mg/mL Poly-D-lysine and 10 μg/mL laminin (Invitrogen Corporation Carlsbad, CA, USA). The growth factors brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) and ciliary neurotrophic factor (CNTF) were obtained from PeproTech (Rocky Hill, NJ, USA); insulin was obtained from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA).

Growth cone collapse assay
Retinal explants were cultured overnight on Lab-Tek 4-well chambered coverglass dishes (Nunc, Rochester, NY) in 0.6 mL media. For treatment, 0.2 mL media was removed and 0.2 mL of fresh media containing 3× the final LPA or S1P concentration was added and the cultures returned to the CO2 incubator for 30 minutes. For controls, 0.2 mL fresh media containing a similar amount of PBS + 10% fatty acid-free BSA buffer was added. After 30 minutes incubation, the cultures were then fixed by underlying with...
4% paraformaldehyde in PBS. Cultures were permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 and stained with TRITC-conjugated phalloidin (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) and examined under fluorescence microscopy with a 40× objective. For quantification of growth cone collapse, we analyzed all the growth cones at the end of neurites from an explant that were growing on laminin substrate (and not on other neurites or cells). Each growth cone analyzed was classified as “growing”, with lamellipodia and/or filopodia, or as “collapsed”, lacking lamellipodia and filopodia, often with retraction fibers visible. Data from multiple explants from multiple experiments were pooled and the percent growth cone collapse calculated; the number of growth cones, explants, and experiments are presented in Supplementary Tables 1 and 2. Statistical analysis was performed using the Fisher’s exact test. Data was graphed and dose response curves were calculated and applied using GraphPad Prism® software (GraphPad Software, Inc. La Jolla, CA, USA).

For pharmacological inhibition of rho, retinal explants were treated with 5 µg/mL recombinant C3 transferase (Cyto-skeleton, Inc. Denver, CO, USA) in media for 20 hours prior to treatment with control media or 100 nM LPA and analyzed for growth cone collapse as described above.

**Time-lapse imaging**

Retinal explants were grown overnight in 35 mm coverslip bottom dishes (MatTek, Ashland, MA, USA). For time-lapse imaging, culture dishes were placed on an inverted microscope (Olympus, Center Valley, PA, USA) in a 37°C temperature controlled incubation chamber and humidified CO₂ was passed over the culture dish to maintain pH. Differential interference contrast (DIC) images were collected through a 20× objective every 30 seconds using Metamorph® software (Molecular Devices Downingtown, PA, USA). Growth cones were imaged for 30–40 minutes before any additions were made in order to confirm an active growth state. Subsequently, 1/10 volume of control media was added and cultures imaged for another 15–30 minutes. (Several wild type videos were initially recorded without this control media addition.) Finally, 1/10 volume of media containing 1 µM LPA was added for a final concentration of 100 nM LPA, or 100 nM LPA was added for a final concentration of 10 nM LPA, and imaging continued until no growth cones or neurites were present in the field or until 30 minutes had elapsed. For quantification, the time from LPA addition to the first signs of growth cone collapse was determined. We analyzed a total of 38 growth cones from 18 different explants from wild type embryos, each in its own dish, from 12 animals and 11 different experiments. The resulting data were pooled. In addition, from Lpar1 Lpar2 mutant embryos, we analyzed an additional 12 growth cones from 6 different explants, each in a different dish, from 3 different mutant embryos over 2 different experiments. Statistical analysis was done using the unpaired t-Test on GraphPad software’s statistical calculator. Videos were produced using Adobe Premiere software.

**Neurite retraction assay**

Retinal explants growing in 35 mm coverslip bottom dishes (MatTek, Ashland, MA, USA) were imaged by a panel collection of a 3 × 3 or 4 × 4 box around the explant under a 10 × phase objective to image all the neurites. All panels were then stitched together using Metamorph. Each explant was imaged before treatment and then media containing LPA was added (1/10 volume of media containing LPA at 10 × the final concentration). Each dish was incubated for 30 minutes at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ incubator, and then each explant was imaged again as above. Thresholds were determined in Metamorph to highlight phase-dense objects; neurites were then selected as long and thin objects by Metamorph and subsequently confirmed by observation with manual addition or removal. The total area of phase-dense neurites was calculated by Metamorph, which we used as total neurite length, both before and after treatment. Percent neurite retraction was calculated from total neurite lengths as “((before-after)/before × 100).” The total number of explants and animals examined is presented in Supplementary Table 3. Statistical analysis was done by one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s post hoc test (set at P < 0.05) via GraphPad Prism software.

**Results**

LPA has been shown to be inhibitory for neurite growth, eliciting neurite retraction in vitro in a variety of primary neurons and neural cell lines. As a first step in our investigation, we characterized the responses of embryonic mouse retinal neurites to LPA in vitro. LPA caused a rapid growth cone collapse in E14.5 mouse retinal neurites in culture grown on laminin as evidenced by loss of growth cone lamellipodia and filopodia (Figure 1). This LPA-mediated growth cone collapse was rapid, usually occurring within 5 minutes (see Table 1), and was followed by neurite retraction (see Figure 4 and video in Supplementary Figure S1). A growth cone collapse assay was used to quantify the responses to LPA exposure. All isolated retinal growth cones (those not growing on other neurites, growth cones, or soma) were...
examined and classified as having normal morphology or collapsed morphology after treatment with media containing various concentrations of LPA (or control media). This quantitative growth cone collapse assay showed that the response to LPA was dose-dependent (Figure 1c). Under control conditions, about 10%–20% of mouse retinal growth cones showed a collapsed morphology. Upon treatment with LPA, we observed complete growth cone collapse with LPA concentrations of 100 nanomolar or greater and a half maximal response in the 2–5 nanomolar range. Furthermore, this response was specific in that another lysophospholipid, sphingosine 1-phosphate (S1P), did not elicit mouse retinal growth cone collapse, even at micromolar concentrations (Figure 1d). Under control conditions, about 10%–20% of mouse retinal growth cones showed a collapsed morphology. Upon treatment with LPA, we observed complete growth cone collapse with LPA concentrations of 100 nanomolar or greater and a half maximal response in the 2–5 nanomolar range. Furthermore, this response was specific in that another lysophospholipid, sphingosine 1-phosphate (S1P), did not elicit mouse retinal growth cone collapse, even at micromolar concentrations (Figure 1c).

To further verify that this LPA response is a specific growth cone response, we analyzed LPA receptor activated intracellular signaling. In neuronal cell lines, LPA-induced growth cone collapse and/or neurite retraction has been demonstrated to signal through the rho pathway. Thus, we blocked the rho pathway by treatment with C3 transferase, which ADP ribosylates rho and inactivates it. Treatment of retinal explants with C3 transferase greatly reduced the growth cone collapse produced by 100 nM LPA: 23% growth cone collapse with C3 treatment compared to 94% growth cone collapse without C3 (both with 100 nM LPA; \( P < 0.0001 \)). Thus, the LPA-induced growth cone collapse of mouse retinal neurites requires intracellular signaling via the rho pathway.

We assessed the role of the LPA receptors LPA\(_1\), LPA\(_2\), and LPA\(_3\) on retinal neurons through mutant mice homozygous for targeted deletions in Lpar1, Lpar2, and Lpar3. These mice have been characterized previously as functional nulls. \(^{44,46,48}\) We used the growth cone collapse assay to analyze the effects of LPA on retinal tissue isolated from homozygous mutant embryos for these LPA receptors, either singly (for Lpar2) or in combinations of double or triple mutants. No difference was seen in the amount of neurite outgrowth (data not shown) or growth cone morphology (Figures 2a–c for example with Lpar2 mutant) between wild type and mutant retinal cultures under control conditions; the growth cones from retinas of mutant mice showed normal lamellipodial and filopodial morphology. In addition, the percentage of growth cones showing a collapsed morphology under control conditions was about 10% in all LPA receptor mutants examined, similar to wild type controls (see Figure 3). Upon treatment with LPA, growth cone collapse was still observed in retinal cultures from Lpar2 mutants (Figures 2f–h) as well as double and triple mutants (Figure 3). These collapsed growth cones, observed after LPA addition in the mutant mouse cultures, were indistinguishable from those seen from wild type mice (Figures 2d–e). To further characterize the responses in mutant cultures, we quantified the growth cone collapse response to LPA concentrations from 1 nM to

**Table 1 Dynamic growth cone properties**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample size (n)</th>
<th>Median time to collapse</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lpar1 Lpar2</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** Analysis of time-lapse imaging of LPA treatment of retinal neurites from wild type and Lpar1 Lpar2 double mutant mice. Sample size (number of growth cones analyzed) and median time to growth cone collapse after LPA treatment are shown. There is no statistical difference in time to growth cone collapse (\( P = 0.23 \)).

**Abbreviation:** LPA, lysophosphatidic acid.

---

**Figure 1** Collapse of mouse retinal growth cones after treatment with LPA. Fluorescent images of F-actin stained with TRiTC-Phalloidin from two examples of growth cones under control conditions (a) which are absent in collapsed growth cones treated with LPA (b). Scale bar: 10 \( \mu \)m. (c) Graph of quantification of growth cone collapse (100% indicating all growth cones collapsed) assayed after 30 minutes of treatment with various concentrations (log scale, molar) of LPA \((\bullet)\) shows a dose-dependent response compared to control \((\ast)\) or S1P treatment \((\bigcirc)\). Data from multiple explants of multiple experiments (see Supplementary Table 1); bars show SEM.

**Abbreviations:** LPA, lysophosphatidic acid; SEM, standard error of mean.
LPA1–3 involvement in retinal growth cone collapse

Figure 2 LPA-induced growth cone collapse in Lpar2 mutant retina neurites. Growth cones from E14.5 retinal neurites under control conditions (a–c) or after treatment with 100 nM LPA for 30 minutes (d–h) stained with TRITC-Phalloidin. Retinal growth cones from Lpar2 mutant embryos (b–c, f–h) show similar morphology to wild type littermates (a, d–e), both under control conditions (a–c) and after LPA treatment (d–h). Similar growth cone collapse is seen in the Lpar2 mutant retina as in wild type. Scale bars: 15 µm.

Abbreviation: LPA, lysophosphatidic acid.

Figure 3 Quantification of growth cone collapse of retinal neurites from LPA receptor mutants. Percentage of growth cones showing collapsed morphology from observations of retinal explants of various mouse LPA receptor genotypes under control conditions (0 LPA) or 1 nM, 10 nM, or 100 nM LPA concentrations (log scale, molar). Each data point is from multiple explants collected from multiple animals (see Supplementary Table 2), with bars representing SEM from different animals. Wild type data are from littermates.

Abbreviations: LPA, lysophosphatidic acid; SEM, standard error of mean.
100 nM. Surprisingly, a significant dose-dependent growth cone collapse response to LPA was seen in all mutant retinal tissue examined (Figure 3). Even retinal tissue from mice with homozygous deletions of all three receptor genes (Lpar1, Lpar2, and Lpar3) showed a dose-dependent retinal growth cone collapse response that approached complete collapse at 100 nM LPA and which showed significant growth cone collapse at 1 nM LPA compared to control (P < 0.001). Interestingly, the Lpar1 Lpar2 Lpar3 triple-null mutant retinal tissue does show a statistically significant lower growth cone collapse response at 1 nM LPA compared to wild type or any of the other double mutant combinations (P < 0.01 for each pairwise analysis). Thus, loss of these three LPA receptors partially, but not completely, reduced the most sensitive LPA-induced growth cone collapse. Nonetheless, since significant growth cone collapse is still found, the receptors LPA1, LPA2, and LPA3 are not required for LPA-mediated growth cone collapse of mouse retinal neurites.

We observed very little difference in our retinal growth cone collapse assay upon LPA treatment in mice lacking receptors LPA2,3 compared to wild type mice. However, as the growth cone collapse assay is a static assay, we also examined the dynamic responses of retinal growth cones to LPA through time-lapse imaging. Retinal growth cones from wild type mice showed a rapid collapse upon treatment with LPA (see Figure 4 and Supplementary Figure S1 together with Table 1), but no responses were observed in the same growth cones upon addition of control media. Similar to wild type, retinal growth cones from Lpar1 Lpar2 double mutant mice also responded to LPA with rapid growth cone collapse (Table 1). (As LPA3 has not been demonstrated to signal through the Go12/13 rho pathway which is suggested to mediate growth cone collapse,40,49,50 the Lpar3 mutant mouse was not analyzed here.) Analysis of response time to LPA addition showed no significant difference (P = 0.23) between wild type and Lpar1 Lpar2 double mutant mice in time to collapse (Table 1); the median response times were quite similar, in the range of 1–2 minutes. In addition, examination of the morphology of the Lpar1 Lpar2 mutant growth cones under control conditions, or after LPA treatment, was indistinguishable from the morphologies seen from wild type growth cones (data not shown). Thus, by analysis of dynamic responses of retinal growth cones, no difference was observed in response to LPA after the loss of the LPA receptors LPA1 and LPA2.

In addition to growth cone collapse, LPA induces neurite retraction. As the receptors LPA1, LPA2, and LPA3 are not required for growth cone collapse, we also investigated whether they may be required for neurite retraction. We examined neurite retraction by measuring the change in total neurite length after treatment with LPA. Because the retinal explants were not uniform, and thus neurite outgrowth was variable, as was neurite fasciculation, we measured neurite retraction by imaging and quantifying from the same explants both before and after treatment with LPA. In retinal explants from wild type embryos, there was considerable neurite retraction upon treatment with LPA (Figures 5a–b). We quantified this loss of neurites by percent neurite retraction. Control media addition showed no neurite retraction; indeed, a “negative retraction” was often observed because of neurite growth. However, treatment with LPA produced a dose-dependent neurite retraction (Figure 6a) that was statistically significant (P < 0.01 versus control and other concentrations). We then examined the neurites of retinal explants from homozygous LPA receptor mutant mice focusing on the 10 nM LPA concentration where LPA-induced neurite retraction was high but not saturating (Figure 6b). Before LPA treatment, retinal explants from LPA receptor mutants, including triple mutants, had similar levels of neurite outgrowth, as well as similar variability of neurite
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fasciculation, as wild type (data not shown). Neurite retraction was observed with LPA treatment of retinal explants from LPA receptor mutants, including triple mutants homozygous for deletion of Lpar1 Lpar2 Lpar3 (Figures 5c–d). This neurite retraction was quantified (Figure 6b) and was clearly observed at 10 nM LPA in triple, as well as double and single LPA receptor mutants. There was no statistically significant neurite retraction difference between wild type and the mutants with 10 nM LPA treatment of retinal explants (ANOVA with Tukey’s post hoc test). In addition, analysis of a small number of Lpar1 Lpar2 Lpar3 triple mutant retinas showed almost complete neurite retraction (mean = 94%) at 100 nM LPA (data not shown). Thus, our data demonstrate that the LPA receptors LPA1, LPA2, and LPA3 are not required for neurite retraction.

**Discussion**

LPA is an extracellular signaling molecule that has previously been shown to have inhibitory effects on process outgrowth in a variety of neural cell lines as well as in primary neurons.16–27 We have confirmed this inhibitory effect in primary mouse retinal neurons by showing that LPA can cause both growth cone collapse and neurite retraction. This effect is potent, with effects demonstrable in the nanomolar range, and

---

**Figure 5** Neurite retraction after LPA treatment. Phase contrast image montages of retinal explants and neurites from wild type E14.5 mouse embryos (a–b) and Lpar1 Lpar2 Lpar3 triple mutant embryos (c–d). The same explants were imaged before (a, c) and after (b, d) LPA treatment for 30 minutes, showing dramatic neurite retraction. Scale bars: 200 µm.

*Abbreviation:* LPA, lysophosphatidic acid.
functions through the rho pathway. Furthermore, the growth cone collapse response and initiation of neurite retraction is rapid, usually occurring in less than 5 minutes.

LPA signals through several GPCRs, of which five have been clearly shown to be activated by LPA. The first three of these to be identified, now called LPA$_1$, LPA$_2$, and LPA$_3$, form a family of homologous receptors. Primary mouse retinal neurons do not require these LPA receptors for growth cone collapse or neurite retraction. LPA was shown to have potent effects even in retinal neurites from mice simultaneously lacking LPA$_2$, LPA$_3$, and LPA$_4$, indicating that these receptors are not required. Although LPA$_1$, LPA$_2$, and LPA$_3$ are not required, they still may function to induce growth cone collapse and neurite retraction in retinal neurites. This function is indicated by the small but significant reduction in growth cone collapse at 1 nM LPA observed in the Lpar1 Lpar2 Lpar3 triple mutant retinal neurites. However, this function is not required and is likely to be redundant to one or more other LPA receptors that could rescue their absence.

If the receptors LPA$_1$, LPA$_2$, and LPA$_3$ are not required, what receptor does mediate LPA-induced growth cone collapse and neurite retraction in mouse retinal neurites? Recently, two new GPCRs have been identified, named LPA$_4$ and LPA$_5$. with there still being other possible receptors that require further validation. Any one of these actual or putative receptors may mediate LPA-induced growth cone collapse and neurite retraction in mouse retinal neurites. Further analyses of mice lacking Lpar4 or Lpar5 in a background of Lpar1 Lpar2 Lpar3 deficiency could clarify this; however such mutants do not currently exist. It is also possible that unknown receptor(s) could mediate these responses.

In addition to the potency of LPA for mouse retinal growth cone collapse, we examined the specificity of LPA compared to the related lysophospholipid S1P. In mouse retinal cultures, S1P did not induce growth cone collapse at concentrations up to 1 µM, indicating that the growth cone collapse response to LPA was not due to nonspecific lysophospholipid effects. In previous reports, S1P did produce cell rounding in N1E115 neuroblastoma cells and PC12 cells. Furthermore, Strochlic and colleagues recently reported that in Xenopus retinal cells, S1P did induce growth cone collapse and a negative turning response. However, Toman and colleagues found that in both PC12 and dorsal root ganglia (DRG) neurons, S1P led to neurite extension in established cultures, although it was inhibitory in freshly dissociated DRG cultures. Thus, the role of S1P on neurite growth is variable depending on the species and culture system. In embryonic mouse retinal cultures, S1P does not appear to be inhibitory.

The in vivo role of this LPA-mediated inhibitory effect on axons is not known. Many established axon guidance cues cause growth cone collapse in vitro and are inhibitory cues in vivo. LPA is found in the central nervous system, as well as other tissues, and thus has the potential of acting as an in vivo axon guidance cue. Enzymes responsible for LPA production, particularly autotaxin, are also found in...
the central nervous system, and at least one isoform of autotaxin appears to be enriched in the CNS. Interestingly, recent evidence suggests that S1P may be an axon guidance cue for retinal axons to innervate the tectum in the *Xenopus* visual system, indicating that lysophospholipids could be axon guidance cues. Furthermore, CNS expression of up to five distinct receptors for both LPA and S1P may underscore additional functions and complex roles during development, which await further study.
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Supplementary Materials

Figure S1. Time-lapse DIC video of wild type E14.5 mouse retinal neurites growing on laminin under control conditions and then after addition of LPA (100 nM final concentration). http://www.scripps.edu/mbl/chun/birgbauer%20movie.html

Abbreviations: LPA, lysophosphatic acid; DiC, differential interference contrast.

Table S1. Total sample size and distribution for analysis of wild type growth cone collapse

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Growth cones (n)</th>
<th>Collapsed gc (n)</th>
<th>Collapsed gc (%)</th>
<th>Dishes (n)</th>
<th>Mean collapse</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>Explants (n)</th>
<th>Diff expts (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>2970</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1 nM LPA</td>
<td>746</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>30.7%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>31.7%</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 nM LPA</td>
<td>2189</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>38.4%</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>42.2%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 nM LPA</td>
<td>1304</td>
<td>1157</td>
<td>88.7%</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>89.4%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 nM LPA</td>
<td>762</td>
<td>744</td>
<td>97.6%</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>98.2%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 µM LPA</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>99.3%</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>98.8%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 µM LPA</td>
<td>532</td>
<td>528</td>
<td>99.2%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>99.4%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 nM SIP</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>21.2%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>21.0%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 nM SIP</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>18.0%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>17.0%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 nM SIP</td>
<td>527</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>16.9%</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>16.3%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 µM SIP</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>16.8%</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>16.3%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Mean collapse rate and SEM is per dish. “Diff expts” indicates independent experiments done on different days, in which each experiment included one or more animals whose retinal explants were pooled for that experiment.

Abbreviations: LPA, lysophosphatic acid; S1P, sphingosine 1-phosphate; SEM, standard error of mean.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Growth cones (n)</th>
<th>Collapsed gc (n)</th>
<th>Collapsed gc (%)</th>
<th>Explants (n)</th>
<th>Animals (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>798</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td>1 nM LPA</td>
<td>785</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td>10 nM LPA</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td>100 nM LPA</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lpar2</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>877</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lpar2</td>
<td>1 nM LPA</td>
<td>986</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lpar2</td>
<td>10 nM LPA</td>
<td>671</td>
<td>554</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lpar2</td>
<td>100 nM LPA</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lpar1 Lpar2</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lpar1 Lpar2</td>
<td>1 nM LPA</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lpar1 Lpar2</td>
<td>10 nM LPA</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lpar1 Lpar2</td>
<td>100 nM LPA</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lpar2 Lpar3</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>424</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lpar2 Lpar3</td>
<td>1 nM LPA</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lpar2 Lpar3</td>
<td>10 nM LPA</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lpar2 Lpar3</td>
<td>100 nM LPA</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lpar1 Lpar3</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lpar1 Lpar3</td>
<td>1 nM LPA</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lpar1 Lpar3</td>
<td>10 nM LPA</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lpar1 Lpar3</td>
<td>100 nM LPA</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lpar1 Lpar2 Lpar3</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lpar1 Lpar2 Lpar3</td>
<td>1 nM LPA</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lpar1 Lpar2 Lpar3</td>
<td>10 nM LPA</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lpar1 Lpar2 Lpar3</td>
<td>100 nM LPA</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** For each genotype, multiple growth cones from multiple explants were analyzed. In most experiments, explants were obtained from multiple animals and analyzed in separate dishes. The wild type animals presented here are littermates of the Lpar2 animals. Control had no LPA addition.

**Abbreviations:** LPA, lysophosphatidic acid; gc, growth cone.
Table S3 Sample size and distribution for neurite retraction assay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Explants (n)</th>
<th>Mean retraction (%): SEM</th>
<th>Dishes (n)</th>
<th>Animals (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-8.7%: 6.1%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td>1 nM LPA</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>47.5%: 4.2%</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td>10 nM LPA</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>80.1%: 5.9%</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td>100 nM LPA</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>97.2%: 0.7%</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lpar2</td>
<td>10 nM LPA</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>81.1%: 3.3%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lpar1/Lpar2</td>
<td>10 nM LPA</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>78.4%: 4.2%</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lpar1/Lpar2/Lpar3</td>
<td>10 nM LPA</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>63.4%: 22.9%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Total number of explants analyzed (n) for each condition along with the mean retraction (%), where negative retraction would be growth, and SEM. In addition, the total number of dishes analyzed as well as the number of animals analyzed for each condition is indicated. *For wild type analysis, each experiment represented one or more animals whose retinas were removed and explants pooled for analysis; thus, the number of animals indicated is the number of independent experiments, which is a minimum number of animals.

Abbreviations: LPA, lysophosphatidic acid; SEM, standard error of mean.